



**Mid-Region Council of Governments
Metropolitan Transportation Board's
TRANSPORTATION COORDINATING COMMITTEE**

**Friday, May 7, 2021
1:30 p.m. – 3:00 p.m.**

Richard Meadows, Chair

Nicole Freidt, Vice Chair

AGENDA

This will be a virtual meeting due to the public health emergency. The link can be found below.

Call to Order

A roll-call of those in attendance will be done. The presence of a quorum will be noted.

Tab 1 **Approval of the May 7, 2021 Agenda**

Tab 2 **Approval of March 5 and April 9, 2021 Action Summaries**

PUBLIC COMMENT AND REPORTS

Tab 3 **Public Comments**

Anyone who wishes to address the TCC must call-in and identify themselves.

Tab 4 **Reports**

- ◆ Staff
- ◆ CMP
- ◆ ITS

ACTION ITEMS

Tab 5 **Amending the FFY 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**
R-21-03 MTB **(Out of cycle TIP amendment)**

DISCUSSION ITEMS

Tab 6 **NMDOT Transportation Project Fund Call for Projects**

NOTES

Next Meeting: Friday, June 4, 2021 1:30 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.
Mid-Region Council of Governments
809 Copper Ave. N.W.
(505) 724-3616

Anyone requiring special accommodations is requested to notify the MRCOG office at (505)247-1750 seven (7) days prior to the meeting or e-mail bthomas@mrcog-nm.gov.

Zoom directions below.

You are invited to a Zoom webinar.

When: May 7, 2021 01:30 PM Mountain Time (US and Canada)

Topic: TCC Meeting

Please click the link below to join the webinar:

<https://zoom.us/j/98039291438?pwd=aTRxSk01N1RBK00vSkZnSWp3MXZkdz09>

Passcode: 077446

Or One tap mobile :

US: +13462487799,,98039291438#,,,,*077446# or +16699006833,,98039291438#,,,,*077446#



Mid-Region Council of Governments
Metropolitan Transportation Board's
TRANSPORTATION COORDINATING COMMITTEE (TCC)

Action Summary

Friday, March 5, 2021

1:30 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.

809 Copper Ave. N.W. Albuquerque, NM 87102

Richard Meadows, Chair

Nicole Friedt, Vice-Chair

ORGANIZATION	MEMBER		ALTERNATE
City of Albuquerque, Council Services	X	Tom Menicucci	Petra Morris
City of Albuquerque, Environmental Health	X	Dario Rocha	Andrew Daffern
City of Albuquerque, Municipal Development	X	Debra Bauman	John MacKenzie
City of Albuquerque Planning Department		Vacant	Carrie Barkhurst
City of Albuquerque Municipal Development		John MacKenzie	X Tim Brown
City of Albuquerque Traffic Engineering	X	Matt Grush	Tim Brown
City of Albuquerque Planning Department		Shahab Biazar	Jeanne Wolfenbarger
City of Albuquerque Transit Department		Andrew de Garmo	Dawn Candelaria
Albuquerque Public Schools	X	Amanda Velarde	Elizabeth Halpin
Albuquerque Metropolitan Arroyo Flood Control Authority		Jerry Lovato	X Nicole Friedt Nolan Bennett
Town of Bernalillo		Ida Fierro	X Troy Martinez
Bernalillo County	X	Brian Lopez	Rodrigo Eichwald
Bernalillo County	X	Richard Meadows, Chair	Julie Luna
Bernalillo County		Clay Campbell	X Rodrigo Eichwald
City of Belen		Steven Tomita	Jerah Cordova
Village of Bosque Farms			
Village of Corrales		Kevin Lucero	JoAnne Roake
Village of Los Lunas	X	Alex Ochoa	Michael Jaramillo
Village of Los Ranchos de Albuquerque		Tiffany Justice	X Maria Rinaldi
City of Rio Communities	X	Jim Winters	
Middle Rio Grande Conservancy District		Vacant	Vacant
New Mexico Department of Transportation	X	Jill Mosher	Mary Lovato
New Mexico Department of Transportation		Vacant	X Nancy Perea
City of Rio Rancho	X	Arnell Friedt	
City of Rio Rancho	X	B.J. Gottlieb	Jamie Marrufo
Rio Metro Regional Transit District	X	Grant Brodehl	Tony Sylvester
Rio Rancho Public Schools		Sal Maniaci	
Cochiti Pueblo		Vacant	Vacant
Isleta Pueblo		Kathy Trujillo	Dale Kleinsmith
Laguna Pueblo		Gaylord Siow	X Sharon Hausam
Sandia Pueblo		Vacant	
Santo Domingo Pueblo		Kathy Ashley	
Sandoval County Planning & Zoning		Mark Hatzenbuhler	
Valencia County		Lina Benavidez	Gerard Saiz
SSCAFCA		Charles Thomas	Dave Gatterman
Village of Tijeras		Vacant	Vacant
NON-VOTING ADVISORY MEMBERS			
ORGANIZATION	MEMBER		ALTERNATE
City of Albuquerque Aviation Department		Jack Scherer	
Albuq/Bern County Air Quality Control Board		Vacant	Vacant
Federal Highway Administration		Vacant	
Greater Albuq Bicycling Advisory Committee		Vacant	Vacant
Kirtland Air Force Base		Vacant	Vacant
Santa Ana Pueblo		Nathan Tsosie	
MRCOG STAFF ATTENDING			
Steven Montiel, Barbara Thomas, Kendra Montanari, Jake Wolff, John Felix, Kendra Montanari, Bianca Borg, Tara Cok			

AGENDA

Call to Order

Chair Richard Meadows, Bernalillo County, called the meeting to order at 1:37 p.m. Roll was called and the presence of a quorum was noted. Attendance is noted on the page above.

Note that this was a virtual meeting.

Tab 1

Approval of the March 5, 2021 Agenda

Steven Montiel, TIP Administrator, requested that Tab 7 be removed from the agenda.

Action Taken:

B.J. Gottlieb, City of Rio Rancho, made a motion to:

APPROVE THE AGENDA OF MARCH 5, 2021 AS REVISED

The motion was seconded by Brian Lopez, Bernalillo County, and passed unanimously on a roll-call vote of 20 in favor and none opposed. See above attendance sheet.

Tab 2

Approval of Action Summary of December 4, 2020

Action Taken:

Alex Ochoa, Village of Los Lunas, made a motion to:

APPROVE THE ACTION SUMMARY OF DECEMBER 4, 2020

The motion was seconded by Mr. Gottlieb and passed unanimously on a vote of 20 in favor and none opposed. See above attendance sheet.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Tab 3

Public Comments

There were no requests from the public to address the Committee.

Tab 4

Reports:

- ◆ **Staff** – Steven Montiel reported that staff continues working from home. He noted that the CMP met earlier today.
- ◆ **TPTG** – nothing to report
- ◆ **ITS** – nothing to report
- ◆ **CMP** – nothing to report

FINAL ACTION ITEMS

Tab 5

R-21-01 MTB

Amending the FFY 2020-2025 TIP to Accommodate Various Changes

Mr. Montiel presented the TIP amendment and described each. He said that the resolution comes to the TCC without recommendation from the TPTG.

Mr. Montiel said that the TIP had been modified for project A30-849, a City of Albuquerque project, noting that no procedures have been violated by this action. The City of Albuquerque plans to add funding to make this project whole and it has come through the TIP amendment process.

Also, project A30 1032 from the City of Albuquerque Parks and Recreation was added to the TIP amendment adding local and State funding which utilizes TIP management procedures. Mr. Montiel also noted that all changes allow for fiscal constraint in the TIP as required.

Mr. Montiel then stood for questions and a lengthy discussion followed.

Jill Mosher, New Mexico Department of Transportation, said she agrees with the action of the TPTG and said that it was her understanding that the federal funds which were added to A30-849 were for hold harmless action in cutting funds and that it represents a reduction of \$32 million over the next five years in National Highway Performance Program funding.

Grant Brodehl, Rio Metro Regional Transit District (RMRTD), said that it is his belief that these reserve funds would be shared collectively and that, if this action is approved, something is broken in the process.

Mr. Gottlieb voiced his agreement with Mr. Montiel and Ms. Mosher and said that no procedures were violated. Mr. Gottlieb said he believes this issue needs to be ironed out before the next TPTG meeting.

Note that this was a lengthy and convoluted discussion with all involved.

Action Taken:

Debbie Bauman, City of Albuquerque, made a motion to:

RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF R-21-01 MTB AMENDING THE FFY 2020-2025 TIP TO ACCOMMODATE VARIOUS CHANGES

The motion was seconded by Mr. Gottlieb.

Action Taken:

Ms. Mosher made a motion to:

MODIFY THE AMENDMENT TO EITHER ELIMINATE THE PROJECT IN QUESTION COMPLETELY OR ELIMINATE THE PROPOSED 2023 STPU FUNDS FROM A30 849 FROM THE AMENDMENT

The motion was seconded by Mr. Lopez.

A roll call vote was taken on Ms. Mosher's motion. The vote was taken. The vote was ten in favor, six opposed and four abstentions. The motion passed and became the recommended TIP amendment which will be forwarded to the MTB for final approval.

The main motion to approve R-21-01 MTB remained open and a roll call vote was taken to approve the main motion as amended.

A roll call vote was taken on the main motion as amended. The vote was thirteen in favor, four opposed and three abstentions.

Ms. Bauman requested clarification on the amendment as amended and asked that the motion be re-stated for clarity.

Tab 6
R-21-02 MTB

Approval of Advance Construction for A301501 98th Street & Gibson Intersection Improvements

Mr. Montiel presented R-21-02 MTB and described the process from the TIP Policies and Procedures. He then stood for questions.

Mr. Gottlieb made a motion to:

APPROVE R-21-02 MTB AS PRESENTED

The motion was seconded by Mr. Brodehl and passed unanimously on a roll call vote of 20 in favor and none opposed. See attendance sheet above.

Tab 7
R-21-03 MTB

Approval of Advance Construction for A300849 Albuquerque Complete Streets: West

This item was removed from the agenda.

DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION ITEMS

Tab 8

Highway Infrastructure Programs-Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2021

Mr. Montiel presented information on the Highway Infrastructure Programs saying that the COG/MPO would receive stimulus funds for the highway improvement programs. These funds will have the same eligibility requirements as Surface Transportation Block Grant and can be used for various improvements. The funds must be obligated by the end of September 2024.

These monies are only to the large urban area and Albuquerque will receive \$8.2 million. The MPO will recommend looking at projects in the existing TIP which would be shovel ready at the appropriate time.

Mr. Montiel stood for questions.

Maria Rinaldi, Village of Los Ranchos, encouraged targeting specific projects and also asked for consideration of the Village of Los Ranchos projects for 2023.

Mr. Montiel noted that this is a discussion item only which will eventually go to the Metropolitan Transportation Board for approval.

Tab 9

Review of Future Revisions to the Community Engagement Committee Charter

Jacob Wolff, MRCOG Planner, briefly reviewed proposed revisions to the Community engagement Committee Charter (formerly known as the Public Involvement Committee).

Mr. Wolff noted that the purpose of the new committee is to re-visit the public engagement process as described in a document dated September 2019.

Mr. Wolff and Mr. Montiel stood for questions.

As previous agenda items took up a considerable amount of time, this discussion was cut short and will come back to the TCC at a later date.

Adjournment

The March 5, 2021 meeting of the Transportation Coordinating Committee was adjourned at 3:02 p.m.

Richard Meadows, Chair
Transportation Coordinating Committee

ATTEST

Dewey V. Cave, Executive Director



Mid-Region Council of Governments
 Metropolitan Transportation Board's
TRANSPORTATION COORDINATING COMMITTEE (TCC)

Action Summary

Friday, April 9, 2021

1:30 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.

809 Copper Ave. N.W. Albuquerque, NM 87102

Richard Meadows, Chair

Nicole Friedt, Vice-Chair

ORGANIZATION	MEMBER	ALTERNATE
City of Albuquerque, Council Services	Tom Menicucci	Petra Morris
City of Albuquerque, Environmental Health	X Dario Rocha	Andrew Daffern
City of Albuquerque, Municipal Development	X Debra Bauman	John MacKenzie
City of Albuquerque Planning Department	Vacant	Carrie Barkhurst
City of Albuquerque Municipal Development	John MacKenzie	X Tim Brown
City of Albuquerque Traffic Engineering	X Matt Grush	Tim Brown
City of Albuquerque Planning Department	Shahab Biazar	Jeanne Wolfenbarger
City of Albuquerque Transit Department	X Andrew de Garmo	Dawn Candelaria
Albuquerque Public Schools	Amanda Velarde	X Kalyn Finnell
Albuquerque Metropolitan Arroyo Flood Control Authority	Jerry Lovato	X Nicole Friedt Nolan Bennett
Town of Bernalillo	X Troy Martinez	X Ida Fierro
Bernalillo County	X Brian Lopez	Rodrigo Eichwald
Bernalillo County	X Richard Meadows, Chair	Julie Luna
Bernalillo County	Clay Campbell	X Rodrigo Eichwald
City of Belen	Steven Tomita	Jerah Cordova
Village of Bosque Farms		
Village of Corrales	Kevin Lucero	JoAnne Roake
Village of Los Lunas	Alex Ochoa	Michael Jaramillo
Village of Los Ranchos de Albuquerque	Tiffany Justice	X Maria Rinaldi
City of Rio Communities	Jim Winters	
Middle Rio Grande Conservancy District	Vacant	Vacant
New Mexico Department of Transportation	X Jill Mosher	
New Mexico Department of Transportation	Vacant	
City of Rio Rancho	X Arnell Friedt	
City of Rio Rancho	X B.J. Gottlieb	Jamie Marrufo
Rio Metro Regional Transit District	X Grant Brodehl	Tony Sylvester
Rio Rancho Public Schools	Sal Maniaci	
Cochiti Pueblo	Vacant	Vacant
Isleta Pueblo	Kathy Trujillo	Dale Kleinsmith
Laguna Pueblo	Vacant	X Sharon Hausam
Sandia Pueblo	Vacant	
Santo Domingo Pueblo	Kathy Ashley	
Sandoval County Planning & Zoning	Mark Hatzenbuhler	Dennis Burns
Valencia County	Lina Benavidez	Gerard Saiz
SSCAFCA	X Charles Thomas	Dave Gatterman
Village of Tijeras	Vacant	Vacant
NON-VOTING ADVISORY MEMBERS		
ORGANIZATION	MEMBER	ALTERNATE
City of Albuquerque Aviation Department	Jack Scherer	
Albuq/Bern County Air Quality Control Board	Vacant	Vacant
Federal Highway Administration	Vacant	
Greater Albuq Bicycling Advisory Committee	Vacant	Vacant
Kirtland Air Force Base	Vacant	Vacant
Santa Ana Pueblo	Nathan Tsosie	
MRCOG STAFF ATTENDING		
Steven Montiel, Barbara Thomas, Bianca Borg, John Felix		

AGENDA

Call to Order

Chair Richard Meadows, Bernalillo County, called the meeting to order at 1:37 p.m. Roll was called and the presence of a quorum was noted. Attendance is noted on the page above.

Note that this was a virtual meeting.

Tab 1

Approval of the April 9, 2021 Agenda

Action Taken:

Chuck Thomas, Southern Sandoval County Arroyo Flood Control Authority (SSCAFCA), made a motion to:

APPROVE THE AGENDA OF APRIL 9, 2021

The motion was seconded by B.J. Gottlieb, City of Rio Rancho, and passed unanimously on a roll-call vote of 20 in favor and none opposed. See above attendance sheet.

Tab 2

Approval of Action Summary of March 5, 2021

Action Taken:

Action was deferred on approval of the March 5, 2021 Action Summary in order to further refine some of the comments made during discussion of the TIP Amendment.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Tab 3

Public Comments

There were no requests from the public to address the Committee.

Tab 4

Reports:

- ◆ **Staff** – Steven Montiel, MPO Program Manager, reported that staff continues working from home.
- ◆ **TPTG** – Mr. Montiel said it had been suggested that notes from the TPTG meetings be made available to the membership of the MTB if TCC and MTB are cancelled in any month. Mr. Montiel also stated that a summary of TPTG would be disseminated via email and not included in the TCC packet as suggested by a member of the TCC.
- ◆ **ITS** – nothing to report
- ◆ **CMP** – nothing to report

FINAL ACTION ITEMS

There are no Action Items on this agenda.

Programming STP-Large Urban Reserves Funds: MRMPO Draft Programming Scenario

Mr. Montiel explained that as a result of some confusion over this item at the last TCC and MTB meetings, discussions were held with TPTG, TCC and MTB membership and MPO staff to resolve the misunderstanding surrounding unprogrammed STP-Large Urban funds (reserve funds) and COVID Supplemental funds. Mr. Montiel stated that the funds in the following scenario were strictly for the large urban area and not the small urban area. Mr. Montiel stated that existing MTB adopted TIP procedures and policy (Project Selection Criteria, TIP Management Criteria, and Guideline for Advancement and Delay of TIP Projects) were utilized to develop the funding scenario before the TCC.

Mr. Montiel then reviewed existing TIP policies and procedures (Project Selection Criteria, TIP Management Criteria, and Guideline for Advancement and Delay of TIP Projects) which allows the MPO to develop a more streamlined selection process and scenario. Mr. Montiel then and reviewed the proposed/draft scenario which focused on existing project shortfalls in the FFY 2020-2025 TIP. Mr. Montiel then and stood for questions.

MRMPO staff asked for a recommendation to move the scenario forward. Comments followed from NMDOT representative, Jill Mosher. Comments and questions were addressed and there was unanimous support to move the scenario forward to MTB.

Adjournment

The April 9, 2021 meeting of the Transportation Coordinating Committee was adjourned at 2:20 p.m.

Richard Meadows, Chair
Transportation Coordinating Committee

ATTEST

Dewey V. Cave, Executive Director



Transportation Technical Committee (TCC)

Action Item

Friday, May 07, 2021

R-21-03 MTB

Amending the

FFY 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Background

The requests for amendments to the current Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), for the AMPA come from various entities. These amendments to the TIP have been requested as part of the quarterly amendment cycle. The following amendment programs the MTB recommended funding scenario for unprogrammed STP-Large Urban funds and COVID Supplemental Relief Funds.

MPO Staff Recommendation

All proposals meet the qualifications for a TIP Amendment and are consistent with Connections 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (2040 MTP).

Public Comment

The amendment has been posted for the MPO's 15-day public review period. Any comments received will be presented at the meeting.

TPTG Recommendation

This amendment was reviewed at the last meeting of the Transportation Program Technical Group (TPTG) and the amendment is recommended for approval.

Attachment(s)

R-21-03 MTB Resolution

1 RESOLUTION

2 of the

3 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION BOARD

4 of the

5 MID-REGION METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

6 Division of the

7 MID-REGION COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS OF NEW MEXICO

8 (R-21-03 MTB)

9 **AMENDING THE FFY 2020-2025 TIP**
10 **TO ACCOMMODATE VARIOUS CHANGES**
11

12 **WHEREAS**, the FFY 2020-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is
13 the TIP for the Albuquerque Metropolitan Planning Area (AMPA) and has been
14 reviewed for conformity in conjunction with the *Futures 2040, Metropolitan*
15 *Transportation Plan* and became effective October 1, 2019; and

16 **WHEREAS**, the TIP must contain all federally-funded transportation projects in
17 the metropolitan transportation planning area prior to the distribution of funds to those
18 projects; and

19 **WHEREAS**, the TIP must contain all regionally significant projects in the
20 metropolitan transportation planning area regardless of the source of funding; and

21 **WHEREAS**, the TIP may be revised in accordance with 23 CFR part 450.328.

22 **NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the Metropolitan Transportation Board
23 of the Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization, a division of the Mid-Region
24 Council of Governments of New Mexico, that the FFY 2020-2025 TIP for the
25 Albuquerque Metropolitan Planning Area is revised to reflect the changes as set out in

26 ATTACHMENT A.

27 **AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, the Metropolitan Transportation Board, in
28 accordance with 23 CFR 450.336, certifies that the transportation planning process is
29 being conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements of:

- 30 a) fiscal constraint required in 23 CFR 450;
- 31 b) 23 U.S.C. 134 and 135, 49 U.S.C. Section 5303 through 5306 and 5323(1)
32 and 23 U.S.C. 450.220;
- 33 c) Sections 174 and 176(c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act as amended (42 U.S.C.
34 7504, 7506(c) and (d) and 40 CFR part 93;
- 35 d) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and
36 49 CFR part 21 and the Title VI assurance executed by each State under 23
37 U.S.C. 324 and 29 U.S.C. 794;
- 38 e) 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed,
39 national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
- 40 f) Section 1101(b) of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (Pub. L.
41 105-178) regarding the involvement of Disadvantaged Business Enterprises
42 in FHWA and FTA funded planning projects (Sec. 105(f), Pub. L. 97-424, 96
43 Stat. 2100; 49 CFR, Subtitle A, Part 26);
- 44 g) 23 CFR part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment
45 opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction
46 contracts;
- 47 h) The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Pub. L. 101-
48 336, 104 Stat. 327, as amended) and U. S. DOT implementing regulation;
- 49 i) The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting

- 50 discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal
51 financial assistance;
- 52 j) Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based
53 on gender; and
- 54 k) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR
55 part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
- 56 l) The provision of 49 U.S.C. Part 20 regarding restrictions on influencing
57 certain activities;
- 58 m) 23 CFR 490, Final Rule on the Highway Safety Improvement Program;
- 59 n) 23 CFR 490 Subpart C, National Performance Management Measures for
60 Assessing Pavement Condition;
- 61 o) 23 CFR 490 Subpart D, National Performance Management Measures for
62 Assessing Bridge Condition;
- 63 p) 23 CFR 490, Final Rule on System Performance, Freight, and Congestion
64 Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ);
- 65 q) 49 CFR 625, Transit Asset Management; and
- 66 r) 23 CFR 450.326(d), Linking the TIP to the MTP and Performance Targets.

67 **PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED** this 21st day of May 2021 by the
68 Metropolitan Transportation Board of the Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning
69 Organization, a division of the Mid-Region Council of Governments of New Mexico.

70
71
72 ATTEST: _____
Jack Torres, Chairperson
Metropolitan Transportation Board

73
74
75 _____
76 Dewey V. Cave
77 Executive Director, Mid-Region Council of Governments
Executive Director, Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization

Control Number	Existing Project Title	Existing Terminus From	Existing Terminus To	Proposed Project Title	Proposed Terminus From	Proposed Terminus To	Existing Project Description	Proposed Project Description	Lead Agency	Project Type	Ph Env Doc	Ph PE	Ph Design	Ph ROW	Ph Const	Ph Other	Total Est. Proj Cost	FFY	Funding Category	Existing Fed Amt	Proposed Fed Amt	Existing State Amt	Proposed State Amt	Existing Local Amt	Proposed Local Amt	Existing Total Amt for Fund Source	Proposed Total Changes for Fund Source	Resulting Total Amt for Fund Source	WorkType	Notes
A300942	NM 500 Rio Bravo Blvd & 2nd St Intersection Improvements	NM 500 at 2nd St (MP 3.16)					Plan, environmental, design, right-of-way, and construct intersection improvements with pedestrian and bicycle facilities. NOTE: local match will		County of Bernalillo	Hwy & Brg Pres	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$5,840,824	2022	STP-U	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$340,824	\$0	\$2,340,824	\$0	\$2,340,824	01	Adding FFY 2023 Covid Funds
A300942																		2023	COVID Supplemental Funds	\$0	\$3,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	\$3,500,000	
A301891	I-25 / Gibson Blvd. Interchange Reconstruction						Reconstruct interchange with bridge replacement and auxiliary lanes. CONSTRUCTION		NMDOT CRDC	Capacity Proj							\$33,351,625	2023	STP-U	\$0	\$1,773,409	\$0	\$302,210	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,075,619	\$2,075,619	03	Adding STP-U funds in FFY 2023 & 2025
A301891																		2024	NHPP	\$21,236,561	\$0	\$3,618,964	\$0	\$0	\$24,855,525	\$0	\$24,855,525	03		
A301891																		2024	STP-U	\$0	\$610,932	\$0	\$104,110	\$0	\$0	\$715,042	\$715,042	03		
A301891																		2025	STP-U	\$4,272,000	\$602,727	\$728,000	\$102,712	\$0	\$0	\$5,000,000	\$705,439	\$5,705,439	03	
A300759	ITS-Albuquerque Traffic Management Systems-Louisiana Boulevard	Central	Menaul				Implementation of ITS fiber upgrades, signal timing, signal improvements including but not limited to ASTPM and other elements related to fiber and ITS		City of Albuquerque-DMD	ITS-TSM		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$1,770,412	2021	COVID Supplemental Funds	\$0	\$600,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$600,000	\$600,000	24	Adding FFY 2021 Covid funds
A300759																		2021	STP-U	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$170,412	\$0	\$1,170,412	\$0	\$1,170,412	24	
A302151	4th St Revitalization Phase 2	Pueblo Solano RD	Village limits north of Ortega RD				Plan and design lane reconfiguration on 4th Street from Pueblo Solano to northern Village boundary to accommodate pedestrian, bicycle, and on street parking improvements		Village of Los Ranchos de Albuquerque	Bike/Ped		Y	Y				\$1,508,091	2020	Local Match for State \$	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,404	\$0	\$25,404	\$0	\$25,404	15	Adding FFY 2024 Covid funds
A302151																		2020	State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$482,687	\$0	\$0	\$482,687	\$0	\$482,687	15		
A302151																		2024	COVID Supplemental Funds	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	15		
A301753	NM 347 (Paseo del Volcan) and Broadmoor Blvd Intersection Improvemnts	NM 347 and Broadmoor Blvd					Design & Construct intersection improvements to NM 347 & Broadmoor Blvd. Project includes a right turn lane on eastbound PdV to southbound Broadmoor Blvd & a right turn lane on		City of Rio Rancho	Safety	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$476,112	2022	STP-U	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$17,041	\$0	\$117,041	\$0	\$117,041	15	Addong FFY 2023 Covid funds
A301753																		2023	COVID Supplemental Funds	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,000	21		
A301753																		2023	STP-U	\$178,630	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,441	\$0	\$209,071	\$0	\$209,071	21	
A301313	Bernalillo Main St Streetscape Phase 4	Southern Municipal Boundary	Intersection of NM473 & NM313				Sidewalk improvements for ADA compliance. Widen sidewalks to accommodate wheelchair, pedestrian and roadway lighting. Project includes ADA sloped curbs for entry from street level.	"Bernalillo Main St. Streetscape Phase 4 from Lucero Avenue to the intersection of NM 473"	Town of Bernalillo	Bike/Ped	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$3,099,975	2021	STP-U	\$273,408	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$46,592	\$0	\$320,000	\$0	\$320,000	15	Adding FFY 2023 STP-U funds and FFY 2024 Covid Funds. Also updating the scope and mile limits
A301313																		2022	STP-U	\$42,720	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,280	\$0	\$50,000	\$0	\$50,000	43	
A301313																		2022	STP-U	\$64,080	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,920	\$0	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000	16	
A301313																		2023	STP-U	\$0	\$322,603	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54,975	\$0	\$377,578	\$377,578	28	
A301313																		2024	COVID Supplemental Funds	\$0	\$677,397	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$677,397	\$677,397	28		
A301313																		2024	STP-U	\$1,367,040	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$232,960	\$0	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$1,600,000	28	
A300304	Unser Blvd Gap Widening Phase 2	Kimmick Dr.	Paradise Blvd.				Widen roadway from 2 to 4 lanes including on-street bicycle lanes, off-street multi use trail, lighting, lanscape buffer, storm drainage improvements and storage area, and ITS related		City of Albuquerque-DMD	Capacity Proj	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$15,286,891	2020	State Capital Outlay	\$0	\$0	\$247,097	\$0	\$0	\$247,097	\$0	\$247,097	15	Adding FFY 2024 & 2025 STP-U funds	
A300304																		2020	STP-U	\$1,450,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$247,097	\$0	\$1,697,097	\$0	\$1,697,097		15
A300304																		2024	STP-U	\$8,000,000	\$900,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,363,296	\$153,371	\$9,363,296	\$1,053,371	\$10,416,667		03
A300304																		2025	STP-U	\$0	\$2,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$426,030	\$0	\$2,926,030	\$2,926,030		03
A301052	Westside Blvd Bike/Ped Path	Unser Blvd	Rio Rancho/City of Albuquerque City Limits				Design and construct an ADA compliant multi-use path along with curb ramps. Project to include retaining walls, fire hydrant relocations and other appurtenances as needed.		City of Rio Rancho	Bike/Ped	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$1,238,866	2021	COVID Supplemental Funds	\$0	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$460,000	\$460,000	28	Adding FFY 2021 funds
A301052																		2021	STP-U	\$13,130	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,238	\$0	\$15,368	\$0	\$15,368	28	
A301052																		2021	STP-U	\$85,440	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,560	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000	15	
A301052																		2021	TAP-Lg Urb	\$566,893	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$96,605	\$0	\$663,498	\$0	\$663,498	28	
TA00371	Commuter Rail: Centralized Traffic Control	CP Abajo	CP Hahn				Design and install centralized traffic control system including signals, powered switches, track material, hardware, software, and other appurtenances as needed.		Rio Metro NMRRX	Transit	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$10,371,156	2021	STP-U	\$5,540,443	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$944,158	\$0	\$6,484,601	\$0	\$6,484,601	23	Adding FFY 2022 Covid funds and removing FFY 2023 STP-U funds
TA00371																		2022	COVID Supplemental Funds	\$0	\$333,926	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$333,926	\$333,926	23		
TA00371																		2022	STP-U	\$2,750,061	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$468,643	\$0	\$3,218,704	\$0	\$3,218,704	23	
TA00371																		2023	STP-U	\$285,306	(\$285,306)	\$0	\$0	\$48,620	(\$48,620)	\$333,926	(\$333,926)	(\$0)	23	
A300849	Albuquerque Complete Streets: West	98th Street	Sarracino Place				Implementation of CABQ Complete Streets Ordinance, including ADA compliant sidewalks, pedestrian and cyclists amenities, landscaping, storm		City of Albuquerque-DMD	Misc	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$8,022,472	2022	Local Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	04	Adding FFY 2023 STP-U funds
A300849																		2022	STL-E	\$1,441,916	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$245,720	\$0	\$1,687,636	\$0	\$1,687,636	04	
A300849																		2022	STP-U	\$1,558,084	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$265,516	\$0	\$1,823,600	\$0	\$1,823,600	04	
A300849																		2023	STP-U	\$0	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$511,236	\$0	\$3,511,236	\$3,511,236	04	
A300504	Bridge Blvd and Tower Rd Reconstruction Phase 2	Young Ave	La Vega (Approaches)				Design, Recon. & or widen Rd. sections including intersec. improv/realignments, sidewalks, ADA accomod., drainage, lighting, & other appurtenances as necess. (The \$80,376 HUD match includes \$15,000 in-kind).		County of Bernalillo	Hwy & Brg Pres	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	\$9,014,045	2021	STP-U	\$130,796	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,289	\$0	\$153,085	\$0	\$153,085	04	Adding FFY 2022 Covid funds
A300504																		2022	COVID Supplemental Funds	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	04		
A300504																		2022	STP-U	\$6,289,204	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,071,756	\$0	\$7,360,960	\$0	\$7,360,960	04	

Mid Region Metropolitan Planning Organization Final Programming Scenario for Unprogrammed STP-Large Urban and COVID Relief Funds-As Recommended by MTB

Date: April 16, 2021

Lead Agency	Project Name	Control Number	Fund Source	FFY 2021	FFY 2022	FFY 2023	FFY 2024	FFY 2025	Total
Bernalillo County Public Works	NM 500 Rio Bravo Blvd & 2nd St Intersection Improvements	A300942	COVID Relief	\$0	\$0	\$3,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,500,000
Bernalillo County Public Works	Bridge Blvd and Tower Rd Reconstruction Phase 2	A300504	COVID Relief	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000
City of Albuquerque-DMD	Albuquerque Complete Streets-West	A300849	STP-Large Urban	\$0	\$0	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,000,000
City of Albuquerque-DMD	ITS - Albuquerque Traffic Management Systems - Louisiana Blvd, Indian School Rd & Americas Pkwy	A300759	COVID Relief	\$600,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$600,000
City of Albuquerque-DMD	Unser Blvd Gap Widening Phase 2	A300304	STP-Large Urban	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$900,000	\$2,500,000	\$3,400,000
City of Rio Rancho	NM 347 (Paseo del Volcan) and Broadmoor Blvd Intersection Improvements	A301753	COVID Relief	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$0	\$150,000
City of Rio Rancho	Westside Blvd Bike/Ped Path	A301052	COVID Relief	\$460,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$460,000
NMDOT	I-25 and Gibson Interchange	A301891	STP-Large Urban	\$0	\$0	\$1,773,409	\$610,932	\$602,727	\$2,987,068
Rio Metro	Commuter Rail: Centralized Traffic Control	TA00371	COVID Relief	\$0	\$333,926	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$333,926
Town of Bernalillo	Bernalillo Main St Streetscape Phase 4	A301313	SP-Large Urban & COVID Relief	\$0	\$0	\$322,603	\$677,397	\$0	\$1,000,000
Village of Los Ranchos	4th Street Revitalization Phase 2	A302151	COVID Relief	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
Reserve STP-Large Urban				\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000
Total Amount Programmed in Scenario				\$17,930,994					
Total Amount Unprogrammed in Scenario						\$1,000,000			
Total Funds Available (STP-Large Urban Reserve)				\$10,709,671					
Total Funds Available (COVID Relief)				\$8,221,323					
Grand Total Available				\$18,930,994					
Balance for reserve in FFY 2023						\$1,000,000			

* This proposed draft Scenario utilizes MTB adopted project selection procedures, TIP Management procedures, and Guidelines for Advancement and Delay of Projects in the TIP .

* All projects above are currently programmed in the Existing FFY 2020-2025 TIP and have been vetted for inclusion into the TIP.

* MRMPO is recommending to keep \$1,000,000 of Federal STP-Large Urban funds Unprogrammed as a reserve for FFY 2023. This reserve shall be used to mitigate potential reduced target for STP-Large Urb. Funds for the Albuquerque Metropolitan Planning Area.



April 15, 2021

RE: Transportation Project Fund (TPF) Call for Projects

Metropolitan and Regional Transportation Planning Organizations:

The Project Oversight Division is soliciting applications for the Department's Transportation Project Fund (TPF) for fiscal year 2022 formally known as Local Government Transportation Project Fund (LGTPF). Local and tribal governments in New Mexico are eligible to apply for state funded grants. Eligible projects are in the following categories: (1) environmental and other studies; (2) planning; (3) design; (4) construction; and (5) acquisition of rights of way necessary for the development of transportation infrastructure, which includes highways, streets, roadways, bridges, crossing structures and parking facilities, including all areas for vehicular, transit, bicycle or pedestrian use for travel, ingress, egress and parking.

The Metropolitan or Regional Transportation Planning Organizations (MPO/RTPO) will use the following criteria to prioritize their planning-area projects; the District office will also use the criteria to rank projects from their District.

Project Prioritization Criteria:

1. Planning: Is this project identified as a priority on a local or regional planning or programming document? Is this project consistent with local or regional plans?
2. Project readiness: If awarded, will the applicant be able to start spending the money within 3 months from receiving the fully executed project agreement?
3. Priority Bridge: Is this project addressing a bridge on the NMDOT's Local Bridge Priority List for Replacement / Rehabilitation?
4. Phasing:
 - a. Is this project part of a phased project previously funded with other state funds (e.g. Local Government Road Fund, Municipal Arterial Program, Capital Outlay, State Road Fund etc.)?
 - b. Is this project part of a phased project previously funded with TPF? If so, what year?
5. Additional criteria identified by the MPO or RTPO

The application package shall include the following:

1. Cover letter application including: (1) brief overview of project; (2) whether the potential grantee intends to apply for hardship (match waiver); (3) the timeframe in which the potential grantee is prepared to spend the grant funds, if received (project readiness); (4) verification that funding requested will be enough to complete the phase of work submitted, and (5) whether the project falls into category (a) or (b):
 - a) Project is located on or within locally-owned right-of-way and the project does not include federal funds; or
 - b) Project is located within or on NMDOT owned right-of-way or is an NHS route and/or may include federal funds;
2. Project must fall into one or more of the following categories, including: (1) environmental and other studies; (2) planning; (3) design; (4) construction; and (5) acquisition of rights of way necessary for the development of transportation infrastructure, and includes highways, streets, roadways, bridges, crossing structures, parking facilities, including all areas for vehicular, transit, bicycle or pedestrian use for travel, ingress, egress and parking. Please be sure to clearly identify the phase of work the funding will be used for (i.e. planning, design, construction, etc.);

Michelle Lujan Grisham
Governor

Michael R. Sandoval
Cabinet Secretary

Commissioners

Jennifer Sandoval
Commissioner, Vice-Chairman
District 1

Bruce Ellis
Commissioner
District 2

Hilma E. Chynoweth
Commissioner
District 3

Walter G. Adams
Commissioner, Chairman
District 4

Thomas C. Taylor
Commissioner
District 5

Charles Lundstrom
Commissioner, Secretary
District 6

3. Project Feasibility Form (PFF) signed by District representative if the project does not have a signed PFF, then the potential grantee must work with the District on the PFF;
4. Resolution of Sponsorship from their governing body, indicating the availability of the 5% match. Alternatively, the potential grantee may submit an official letter signed by the potential grantee's chief executive or official with budget authority, indicating the availability of the 5% match;
5. Map of project location including mile posts; and
6. **Required if local entity does not own the right of way:** A letter of support from owners of the project right-of-way. This includes getting a letter from the NMDOT District if the project is partially or fully within NMDOT right-of-way.

Complete applications must be submitted through NMDOT's FTP site <https://grader.dot.state.nm.us/public/folder/d8gSzswmw0ezwTcsxTQodQ/FY22%20TPF%20Applications> no later than close of business May 31, 2021. All incomplete applications will be returned to the local entity for submission the following year. Projects will be selected and approved by the State Transportation Committee by September 1, 2021. Selection letters will be mailed out within the first week of September.

If you have any questions regarding funding or agreements please contact Clarissa Martinez, 505.699.9946 or Clarissa.Martinez@state.nm.us or Sean Sandoval, 505.660.6102 or Sean.Sandoval@state.nm.us. George Dodge Jr. our Local Government Relations Director is also available for all other inquiries at George.Dodge@state.nm.us or 505-470-4095.

Sincerely,



Michael Sandoval
Cabinet Secretary

Xc: NMDOT District Engineers
District Coordinators
Clarissa Martinez, State Funded Grant Manager
Sean Sandoval, Project Oversight Director
George Dodge Jr., Local Government Relations Director

Frequently Asked Questions

The Department of Transportation established the Transportation Project Fund (TPF, formerly known as LGTPF) in 2019. The MPO/RTPO played an important role in application process. The department provided webinars and public hearing to help establish the criteria and processes. Since the 2019 call for projects, the department has not changed the process or the roles of the MPO/RTPO. The most pertinent change to the process is that projects that have federal funds, no longer qualify for TPF funding.

Below are a list of frequently asked questions.

1. When are applications due to the NMDOT FTP site?

The department has extended the submittal date for FY22 Call for Projects. The deadline to submit applications to the FTP site is Tuesday, June 15, 2021 by 5:00 pm.

2. What is the estimated amount of funding available for the TPF program?

The estimated amount of funding available for FY22 is 120 million (\$3 million will be held for hardship waivers). In the future, the program anticipates being approximately \$40 million per year.

3. What is the definition of “Shovel Ready”?

Shovel Ready is defined as- the project is advertisement ready, regardless of the phase the funding is being applied for.

4. Do the MPO/RTPO’s need to create a point system to prioritize and rank projects?

No, a point system is not necessary to prioritize projects, however an MPO/RTPO may use one if they choose to. A ranking scale of 1-10 will be sufficient. If no point system is used, submit all applications received.

5. What if an MPO/RTPO does not wish to rank their projects?

If the MPO/RTPO does not wish to rank their projects, they will need to submit a letter to the Cabinet Secretary stating they do not want to rank the projects and they are allowing the District to do so on their behalf.

6. What criteria does the MPO/RTPO use to rank projects?

The criteria is provided in the call for projects letter dated April 15. The MPO/RTPOs have the option to add additional criteria if desired.

7. Is the MPO/RTPO Board required to approve the ranked list of projects?

No, the MPO/RTPO Boards are not required to approve the ranked projects. However, each respective board should decide if a ranking system would be used.

8. Who can approve the ranking of projects?

MPO/RTPOs Staff or Boards are all eligible to approve ranking of projects if desired.

9. Is the MPO/RTPO staff responsible for uploading approved applications to the FTP site or will the local entities do this individually?

Yes, MPO/RTPO's should upload the applications to the FTP on behalf of the local entities.

10. Does NMDOT accept the Project Prospectus Form (PPF) in place of the Project Feasibility Form (PFF)?

Yes will accept the PPF. However, we recommend using the PFF that has been created for use with the TPF program and is geared toward 100% state funding.

11. Does a project have to be on an RTIPR to be eligible for funding?

No, projects do not have to be on an RTIPR to be submitted for consideration. However, if a project is on the RTIPR local entities must ensure that no federal funds have or will be used on the project. If a project does have federal funds, it will need to be de-federalized to be eligible for TPF funding.

12. Is drainage work an allowed expense?

Yes, as long as the drainage work is part of an overall project it is eligible. The drainage work cannot be a standalone project.

13. Is utility work an allowed expense?

Yes, if the utility work is required to mitigate the impacts of the proposed construction. A standalone project or upgrading of utilities will not be eligible for this grant funding. This work can be added to the project provided it is paid for with another local or state funding source.

14. Can an application be submitted with the same scope of work as a project that has already been awarded federal funding?

No, the scope of work being submitted cannot be identical to a federally funded project. Per the final rule, federal funds may not be used as part of a TPF funded project.

15. Can any form of meeting (i.e. Zoom, Phone, in person, etc.) be used to review project applications with NMDOT District staff?

Yes, meetings to review projects are allowed to be held virtually, by phone or in person.

16. What role do the Districts play in the application review process?

The districts will review the applications to ensure all paperwork is filled out correctly. They will also review the project feasibility form to verify the work can be completed in the time allotted and that funding requested is enough to complete the work/phase requested. The districts provide a priority list of projects to the Cabinet Secretary based on project evaluation criteria.

17. Can the District Engineer reprioritize the list of projects received by the MPO/RTPO?

Yes, the District Engineer has the ability to reprioritize the projects submitted to the Cabinet Secretary.

18. Can the Cabinet Secretary reprioritize the list of projects received by the District Engineer?

Yes, the Cabinet Secretary has the ability to reprioritize the projects submitted to the State Transportation Commission.

19. Who is responsible for the final approval of Transportation Project Funds?

The State Transportation Commission is responsible for final approval of awards.

20. Can Tribal Entities apply for TPF Hardship waiver?

Yes, Tribal Entities qualify for Hardship waiver only if the Department of Finance approves a financial hardship qualification certification. Tribal Entities may use Tribal Transportation Funds as their match, but this must be disclosed on the application. It is not the department's responsibility to verify the requirements for the Tribal Transportation funds are followed.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Preparation Date: _____ **Project Title:** _____

Requesting T/LPA: _____ **Is there an approved Governing Body resolution for this application**
(Applicant) YES ___ NO ___ PENDING ___

Responsible Charge
Name: _____ **Phone:** _____

Title: _____ **Email:** _____

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Type (Circle/boldface/underline all that apply):
ROADWAY BRIDGE SAFETY PLANNING/DESIGN OTHER

If you chose "OTHER" please clarify here: _____

Project Scope: _____

Is the request to address a bridge on the NMDOT's Local Bridge Priority List for Replacement/Rehabilitation?
NO ___ YES ___ If yes, please indicate bridge #: _____

Is the request to continue or advance a phase of a previous project?
NO ___ YES ___ If yes, please indicate funding sources and scope of previous phase below.

Funding Source: _____

Previous Phase Project Scope: _____

Completion Date of Previous Phase: _____

Current Phase being requested: _____

Project Location

Route Number and/or Street Name: _____

Project Termini:

Beginning Mile point and/or intersection: _____

Ending Mile point and/or intersection: _____

Total length of proposed project: _____

NOTE: A local government project that is located in full or in part within a department right-of-way or NHS route must be administered in accordance with the "Tribal/Local Public Agency Handbook".

A local government project that ties into, connects or crosses a department right-of-way or an NHS route, or when the project may have an effect on existing improvements within department rights-of-way, requires the approval of the department.

Is the project located in full or in part within a department right-of-way or NHS route?

NO ___ YES ___ If yes, the project must be administered in accordance with the Tribal/Local Public Agency Handbook and follow all requirements and procedures.

Does the project tie into, connect or cross a department right-of-way or an NHS route, or will the project have an effect on existing improvements within a NMDOT right-of-way? "Letter of Approval" from the NMDOT District Engineer?

NO ___ YES ___ If yes, a "Letter of Approval" is required from the NMDOT District Engineer.

Will the project impact known environmental and/or cultural resources?

YES ___ NO ___ If yes, please clarify _____

Is this project tied to any past or future federal funding?

YES ___ NO ___ If yes, please identify _____

Project Phases to be included in request (Circle/boldface/underline all that apply):

PLANNING

PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING/DESIGN

CONSTRUCTION

CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT & TESTING

*RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION**

* Projects that are for ROW acquisition will need to follow NMDOT ROW acquisition requirements if the entity intends to utilize federal funding in any subsequent project phase.

PROJECT COSTS:

Column A			Column B
If project is <u>not</u> phased, complete column A only.			Total Phases No. (1, 2, 3, I, II, III, etc.):
If project is phased, list the amount of funding being currently requested in Column A and complete Column B.			The amount below represents the cost of the entire project and will be greater than Column A.
Requested Cost	\$		Total Project Cost: \$
Percentage Estimates:			Phased projects are usually large and divided into parts or phases. Please clarify how the requested project funding relates to the total overall project. Identify future phases and estimated costs.
Total Local Match	%	\$	
Total State Share	%	\$	
	100%		

- Does the T/LPA have major audit findings that would prevent them from being a responsible fiscal agent?

Additional topics to discuss during PFF meetings ONLY if project is on or intersects with an NMDOT or NHS route:

- Is the Tribal/Local Public Agency (T/LPA) familiar with the NMDOT T/LPA Handbook? Has the person in responsible charge attended one of the T/LPA Handbook trainings?
- Is the project within NMDOT ROW? If so, does the district support the project?
 - Are agreements necessary for maintenance and operations? (Lighting agreements, landscaping, etc.)
- The T/LPA must follow the NMDOT specifications as outlined in the “Specs for Highway and Bridge Construction” unless the appropriate NMDOT Design Center grants permission prior to design for the T/LPA to use other specs.